

ANSWER SHEET

Ranking sources exercise

This exercise is on page 149 of the textbook.

Importance (1–10)	Source	Answers
	'Government to overturn Sons of Gwalia ruling', (<i>Sydney Morning Herald</i>) 19 January 2010	7
	Cary Di Lernia, 'Implications of the Sons of Gwalia decision' (2008) 7(1) <i>Journal of Law and Financial Management</i> 8	4
	<i>Sons of Gwalia Ltd v Margaretic</i> (2007) 231 CLR 160	1
	Cited in <i>White v Designated Manager of IP Australia (no. 2)</i> [2008] FCA 816 (3 June 2008)	5
	Australain Law Reform Commission, <i>Annual Report 2006–7</i> , Report 106, Appendix H	6
	deListed website, www.delisted.com.au , reference to <i>Sons of Gwalia</i> (iD 7589)	10
	'Sons of Gwalia', Wikipedia entry	9
	<i>Sons of Gwalia Limited (Subject to Deed of Company Arrangement) v Margaretic</i> [2006] FCAFC 92 (Unreported, Federal Court of Australia, Finklestein, Gyles and Jacobsen JJ, 15 June 2006)	2
	Yahoo News, http://au.news.yahoo.com/thewest/a/-/breaking/5912273/e-y-agrees-to-125m-sons-of-gwalia-settlement/ – reference to Kate Emery, 'Ernst &Young agrees to \$125m Sons of Gwalia settlement', <i>The West Australian</i> , 4 September 2009, 10.22am	8
	Australian Government Corporations and Markets Advisory Committee, 'Shareholder claims against insolvent companies: implications of the Sons of Gwalia decision', Report, December 2008.	3

These answers are not set in concrete – essentially the High and Federal court decisions are the actual decisions themselves so they are first. Next are authoritative commentaries on the decision, or where it is cited elsewhere – that includes ALRC report. After that are newspapers and at the bottom, Wikipedia and other websites.